

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMEROE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,054	11/18/2003	Jianhua Fan	4271		
7590 06/11/2004			EXAMINER		
Jianhua Fan 5800 Maudina Ave. C-2			VALENTI, ANDREA M		
Nashville, TN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643	3643	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/716,054	FAN, JIANHUA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No.	ovember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	, –					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date I.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because

Line 5, 'tunnel may be vary and may extending' should be -tunnel may vary and my extend--

Line 6, 'chennel' should be -channel--

Last line, 'inside of building' should be -inside of a building--

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1, last line, 'thire' should be -their--

Page 1, the last four sentences appear to have poor grammatical presentation and are confusing.

Page 6, third paragraph, line 7, 'can be vary' should be -can vary--

Page 6, second to last line and last line, 'chennel' should be -channel-

Page 7, third line, 'may be vary' should be -may vary--

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **tray** of claims 10 and 11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 3643

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 5, 7, 9, and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5 should be -wherein two aeration tunnels are cross connected--

Claim 7 should be —wherein two aeration rectangular aeration tunnels are cross connected--

Claim 9, 'chennel' should be -channel-

Claim 11, last line, 'tunnel can be vary' should be -tunnel can vary--

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,830,015 to Belgiorno.

Regarding Claim 1, Belgiorno teaches an aerated plant pot with a plant pot; the plant pot having a side wall and a bottom wall (#41), a plurality of holes/slots disposed on the bottom wall (Fig. 29 and 26); and at least one aeration tunnel (#40 and Col. 2 line 3) extending upwardly from the bottom wall of the plant pot, the aeration tunnel having

Art Unit: 3643

at least one side wall, a top wall, a plurality of slots/holes disposed on the side wall and the top wall.

Regarding Claim 2, Belgiorno teaches the at least one aeration tunnel is in cone shape (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 3, Belgiorno teaches the at least one aeration tunnel is in prism shape (Fig. 15).

Regarding Claim 4, Belgiorno teaches the at least one aeration tunnel is in rectangle shape (Fig. 8).

Regarding Claim 5, Belgiorno teaches two aeration tunnels cross connected (Fig. 8).

Regarding Claim 6, Belgiorno teaches the aeration tunnels extend to the side wall of the plant pot and without end walls (Fig. 15).

Regarding Claim 7, Belgiorno teaches two rectangle aeration tunnels cross connected (Fig. 8).

Regarding Claim 8, Belgiorno teaches the aeration tunnels extending to the side wall of the plant pot without end walls (Fig. 8 and 15).

Regarding Claim 9, Belgiorno teaches at least one air channel formed on the bottom wall of the pot (#40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3643

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,830,015 to Belgiorno in view of U.S. Patent Pub. US 2004/001970 to Baumann.

Regarding Claims 10 and 11, Belgiorno teaches at least one leg extending downwardly from the bottom wall of the pot (Belgiorno Fig. 28), but is silent on a tray for reserving drain water while the pot is used inside of buildings. However, Baumann teaches a tray used in conjunction with a pot containing and aerating tunnel (Baumann Fig. 1 #7 and 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of the invention at the time of the invention since it is old and notoriously well-known in the art to use a tray with a plant pot to collect drained water and to prevent damage to the resting surface of the pot.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,040,330; German Patent DE 3737448 A1; U.S. Patent D450,011; U.S. Patent No. 6,131,334; U.S. Patent Pub. US 2003/0106262; U.S. Patent Pub. US 2002/0174599; U.S. Patent Pub. US 2002/0017058; U.S. Patent No. 6,729,070; U.S. Patent No. 5,644,868; U.S. Patent No. 5,581,936; U.S. Patent No. 3,987,584.

The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in

Art Unit: 3643

such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Certificate of Transmission
hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Valenti whose telephone number is 703-305-

Art Unit: 3643

Page 7

3010. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5pm M-F; Alternating Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

andrea M. Valenti Andrea M. Valenti

Examiner

Art Unit 3643

27 May 2004

Peter M. Poon

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3600